



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,552	07/17/2000	LAURENT GAVOILLE	160383.90171	2788

7590 11/16/2004

MICHAEL J MCGOVERN  
QUARLES & BRADY  
411 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE  
SUITE 2040  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497

EXAMINER

CARTER, TIA A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2626

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/554,552

Applicant(s)

GAVOILLE ET AL.

Examiner

Tia A Carter

Art Unit

2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 5, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wright et al. (US. 6442598).

Regarding claim 1, Wright et al. discloses a process of navigating on a computer network with a data processing device comprising, a screen (52) smaller than the size of a server page (11), wherein the server page (11) comprises information (13) and selection zones (12) for selecting other pages (11) and wherein the processing device further comprises means (55, 56) for designating a selection zone 912) of the server page 911) the process

Art Unit: 2626

comprising locating the selection zone s (12) to the screen (52) and displaying the selection zones (12) as a block of data, without displaying other information (13) that was displayed with the selection zones on a page, and calling up another page (121 to 125) by designating one of the displayed zones (12) (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 22-34; col. 8, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 5, Wright et al. discloses a process according to claim 1, wherein the selection zones (12) and a window scanning the page (11) are displayed alternately on the screen (52) (fig. 3, col. 7, lines 66-67; col. 6, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 7, Wright et al. disclose facsimile machine according to claim 6, wherein means (50) are provided for storing a designated zone (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 35-50).

Regarding claim 9, Wright et al. disclose facsimile machine according to claim 6, wherein the input means (55, 560 for designating selection zones are arranged to command, the means for communicating (57), to execute a repetition of the sending of a page (11) by a server (fig. 2, col. 6, lines 45-57).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2626

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-4, 6, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al. (Us. 6442598) in view of Isaac et al. (US. 6647531).

Regarding claim 2, Wright et al. discloses a process according to claim 1.

Wright et al. **do not disclose** wherein data input fields are also displayed with the zones (12) in which data can be entered by selecting the field using a cursor means 956) and entering data using the input means (55).

Isaac et al. **disclose** wherein data input fields are also displayed with the zones (12) in which data can be entered by selecting the field using a cursor means 956) and entering data using the input means (55) (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 64-66; fig. 2, col. 5, lines 13-19).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art discloses the basic structure of a web page window inclusive of an input field, this feature permits user intervention.

Regarding claim 3, Wright et al. disclose a process according to claim 1.

Art Unit: 2626

Wright et al. **do not disclose** wherein the selection zones (12) are made to scroll when the total size thereof exceeds that of the screen (52).

Isaac et al. **disclose** wherein the selection zones (12) are made to scroll when the total size thereof exceeds that of the screen (52) (user interface –74, fig. 2, col. 5, lines 17-20).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art discloses the basic structure of a web page window inclusive of an input field, this feature permits user intervention.

Regarding claim 4, Wright et al. discloses a process of claim 1.

Wright et al. do not **disclose** wherein the data processing device further comprises a printer, and wherein the screen (52) is enlarged to the size of the server page (11) when printing (54) the data displayed on the screen (52).

Isaac et al. **disclose** wherein the data processing device further comprises a printer, and wherein the screen (52) is enlarged to the size of the server page (11) when printing (54) the data displayed on the screen (52) (fig. 1, col. 3, lines 66-67).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art discloses the use of a printer providing a user to output data transmitted from the network.

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 6, Wright et al. disclose facsimile machine comprising:

Means (51) for analysis of pages (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 22-40),

Means (50, 58) for receiving pages of electronic information (11) associated with the means (51) for analysis of page (11) (fig. 2, col. 5, lines 22-40),

Said means for receiving pages being arranged to detect therein selection of other pages (121 to 125) (fig. 2, col. 6, lines 4-16),

Wherein the means 951) for analysis of pages are arranged to supply the selection zones (12) as a block of data for display, to a display means (52) (fig. 2, col. 6, lines 4-16) and

Wright et al. **do not disclose** further comprising input means (55, 56) for designating a displayed selection zone (12) on the display means (fig. 3, col. 5, lines 20-65), and

Wright et al. **do not disclose** further comprising means (57) for communicating with at least one server (2, 3) to call up one of the other pages (121, 125) that has been selected.

Isaac et al. **disclose** further comprising input means (55, 56) for designating a displayed selection zone (12) on the display means (fig. 3, col. 5, lines 20-65), and

Isaac et al. **disclose** further comprising means (57) for communicating with at least one server (2, 3) to call up one of the other pages (121, 125) that has been selected (fig. 3, col. 5, lines 20-65).

Art Unit: 2626

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art Isaac et al. discloses the use communicating for page transmission and uploading, this feature permits users to analyze and manipulate perspective web pages.

Regarding claim 8, Wright et al, disclose facsimile machine according to claim 6.

Wright et al. **do not disclose** wherein the input means (55, 56) for designating selection zones are arranged in order to recall a previous page on request.

Isaac et al. **disclose** wherein the input means (55, 56) for designating selection zones are arranged in order to recall a previous page on request (fig. 5, col. 8, lines 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art discloses the basic structure of a web page window having previous page command capability.

Regarding claim 10, Wright et al. disclose a facsimile machine according to claim 6.

Wright et al. **do not disclose** wherein means (53) are provided for controlling a printer 954) said means being arranged to convert a page in HTML format into a page in pixel format.



Art Unit: 2626

Isaac et al. **disclose** wherein means (53) are provided for controlling a printer 954) said means being arranged to convert a page in HTML format into a page in pixel format (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 61-67; col. 5, lines 1-13).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wright et al. wherein the prior art Isaac et al. implements the capability of converting a document based on the requirement of an output device specification.

### ***Conclusion***

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tia A Carter whose telephone number is 703 - 306-5433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A Williams can be reached on 703-305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
TAC  
11/12/04

  
KIMBERLY WILLIAMS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Tia A Carter  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2626